

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,210	10/11/2000	Galyn A. Schulz	2107 (FJ-98-4)	6128	
75	90 08/30/2002				
MICHAEL W. FERRELL, ESQ. FERRELLS, PLLC P.O. BOX 312			EXAMINER		
			YAN, REN LUO		
CLIFTON, VA 20124-1706					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854	2854	
		DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/686,210	SCHULZ, GALYN A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ren L Yan	2854			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	th correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatory of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayon if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (i) y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>12 June 2002 and 27 June 2002</u>	<u>2</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)[	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the appl					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languages 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has beer	n received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Of	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4			

Art Unit: 2854

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-13 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulz(5,269,983) in view of Grupe(5,215,617). The patent to Schulz teaches the structure of an embossing apparatus as claimed including a steel embossing roller 50 having protuberances 52 thereon corresponding to a desired embossed pattern, and a resilient rubber roller 54 having recesses 56 provided corresponding to the embossed pattern of the protuberances 52. The resilient rubber roller 54 can work the paper as it is deformably engaged with the steel roller to generate embossed patterns on the paper. See the entire Schulz patent for details. However, the hardness of the steel roller and the hardness of the rubber roller were not given in the Schulz patent. The patent to Grupe teaches in a similar embossing apparatus using steel and rubber roller pair the teaching of providing the rubber roller having a hardness of 100 Shore A or less, preferably from about 50 to about 80 Shore A hardness because the rubber materials with that hardness ratings can be easily laser engraved to the desired embossing element shape and are more forgiving to the web being embossed such that the strength of the web is not degraded. See column 2, lines 10-17 in Grupe for example. It would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to provide the embossing apparatus of Schulz with the rubber materials having a hardness of 100 Shore A or less, preferably from 50-80 Shore A hardness as taught by

Application/Control Number: 09/686,210

Art Unit: 2854

Grupe for the ease of manufacture of the rubber roller and the improved quality of the embossed web product. With respect to the hardness of the steel roller used in either the Schulz or the Grupe patents, since the softer rubber roller can have a hardness of up to a 100 Shore A, the more rigid steel roller will have to have a Shore A hardness of at least 90 as claimed in order for the steel/rubber embossing roller pair to work properly as intended. With respect to claim 10, when the rubber roller of Schulz is worn, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to replace such a worn rubber roller with one having Shore A hardness in the range of 50-80 as taught by Grupe in order to prolong the service life of the embossing apparatus and also to enjoy the benefits of using such a rubber roller as discussed above.

Applicant's arguments filed on 6-12-2002 and the declaration filed on 6-27-2002 under 37 CFR 1.132 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. There is no doubt that the present invention will work the way it was intended to protect the embossing machine from being damaged when working on a fiberous web containing recycled pulp. However, the embossing machine as collectively taught by Schulz and Grupe as suggested above would accomplish the same result since the embossing machine having a steel embossing roller at Shore A hardness of 90 or more and an engraved rubber roller at Shore A hardness of 50-80 taught by Schulz and Grupe would have the exact same structure and would function exactly the same way when working on a fibrous web containing recycled pulp. Even though neither Schulz not Grupe discusses the problems associated with processing recycled fiber, one of ordinary skill in the art would have known that the embossing machine as taught by Schulz and Grupe is well capable of processing fibrous web containing recycled pulp without suffering excessive wear due to the contaminants in the pulp simply because this embossing machine having the steel and rubber

Application/Control Number: 09/686,210

Art Unit: 2854

embossing roller pair with their respective Shore A hardness would tolerate the contaminants in the recycled pulp the same way as would the embossing machine of the present invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L Yan whose telephone number is 703-308-0978. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 703-305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Ren L Yan

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan August 28, 2002